arXiv:1301.4038v1 [math.AG] 17 Jan 2013

NOETHER'S PROBLEM FOR p-GROUPS WITH THREE GENERATORS

YIN CHEN

Abstract. Let p be an odd prime and G be a nonabelian group of order p^n with the presentation

$$\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid \alpha^{p^a} = \beta^{p^b} = \gamma^{p^c} = 1, [\alpha, \gamma] = 1, [\gamma, \beta] = \alpha^{p^r}, [\alpha, \beta] = \gamma^{p^e} \rangle,$$

where $n > a \geq b \geq c \geq 1$. Let k be a field containing a primitive p^a-th root of unity and G act on the rational function field $k(x_h : h \in G)$ by $g \cdot x_h = x_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$. In this note, we prove that the fixed field $k(G) = k(x_h : h \in G)^G$ is rational over k. As a corollary, we prove that if k contains a primitive p^4-th root of unity and G is a nonabelian group of order p^5 generated by three elements, then $k(G)$ is rational over k.

1. Introduction

Let k be any field and G be a finite group acting on the rational function field $k(x_h : h \in G)$ by $g \cdot x_h = x_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$. We write $k(G)$ for the fixed field $k(x_h : h \in G)^G$. The classical Noether's problem asks whether $k(G)$ is rational (i.e., purely transcendental) over k. This problem has close connection with Lüroth's problem and the inverse Galois problem [11, 21, 22]. Noether's problem for finite abelian groups was studied extensively by Fischer, Swan, Voskresenskii, and Lenstra, etc. (See Swan [22] and Kersten [17] for the survey articles.) To our knowledge, Noether's problem for finite nonabelian p-groups is not well answered. With some restricted conditions on the base field k, it is known that $k(G)$ is rational if G is a p-group of order $\leq p^4$ (Chu and Kang [5]), the metacyclic p-group (Kang [12]), the p-group containing a cyclic subgroup of index p (Hu and Kang [7]), the p-group containing a cyclic subgroup of index p^2 (Kang [14]), the 2-groups ([2, 13, 4, 3, 16] and the reference therein).

The proofs to answer Noether's problem basically consist of three steps. The first step is to choose a faithful G-subrepresentation W of the regular representation. By No-name Lemma, the rationality of the fixed field $k(W)^G$ will imply that the rationality of $k(G)$ holds. The second step is to transform the linear action of G on W into a kind of non-linear action of G on U for some space U with $\dim U < \dim W$. The purpose of this step is to reduce the dimension of a G-space on which G can be realized faithfully. In a sense, the rationality of $k(W)^G$ may depend on the rationality of $k(U)^G$. The third step is to linearize the action of G on $k(U)$ so that answering the rationality of $k(U)^G$ is expected.

The purpose of this note is to extend Kang's method in [14] to investigate Noether's problem for finite nonabelian p-groups with three generators. In what follows, we assume that p is an odd prime, G is a nonabelian group of order p^n with three generators α, β, γ, and their orders are p^a, p^b and p^c respectively, where $n > a \geq b \geq c \geq 1$ are integers. For any elements $x, y \in G$, we write $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ for the commutator. Our main result is the following.

Date: January 18, 2013.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A50, 14E08.
Key words and phrases. Noether's problem; p-groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $G = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid \alpha^{p^a} = \beta^{p^b} = \gamma^{p^c} = 1, [\alpha, \gamma] = 1, [\gamma, \beta] = \alpha^{p^r}, [\alpha, \beta] = \gamma^{p^e} \rangle$ *be a nonabelian group of order* p^n*, where* $n > a \geq b \geq c \geq 1$*. Assume that the base field* k *contains a primitive* p^a*-th root of unity. Then the fixed field* $k(G)$ *is rational over* k*.*

This theorem has two applications in the p-groups of order $\leq p^6$, where p is odd. Let G be a finite p-group of order p^5. According to the classification of James [10], G belongs to one of the 9 isoclinism families: Φ_i $(2 \leq i \leq 10)$. Moreover, if G is generated by three elements, then G belongs to either Φ_2 or Φ_8. We notice that in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [9], it was proved that for every group in the families Φ_8, $k(G)$ is rational over k. So we check the assumption of Theorem 1.1 for each group in the families Φ_2 case by case, and eventually obtain

Corollary 1.2. *Let* p *be an odd prime and* k *be a field containing a primitive* p^4*-th root of unity. Let* G *be a nonabelian group of order* p^5 *generated by three elements. Then* $k(G)$ *is rational over* k*.*

Similarly, for the groups of order p^6, we find that if $G \in \Phi_2$ (or Φ_{14}) is generated by three generators, then $k(G)$ is rational over k provided that k contains a primitive p^5-th root of unity (in particular, $k = \mathbf{C}$, the field of complex numbers). A recent result due to Moravec [20] asserts that if two p-groups G and $\widetilde{G}$ belong to the same isoclinism family, then their unramified Brauer groups are isomorphic. Thus

Corollary 1.3. *Let* p *be an odd prime and* G *a nonabelian group of order* p^6*. If* $G \in \Phi_2$ *or* Φ_{14}*, then the unramified Brauer group of* G *is trivial.*

Actually, one can prove Corollary 1.3 using the nonabelian exterior square of a group as in [19]. More results about the unramified Brauer groups of the finite p-groups can be found in [3, 8, 9, 15, 19].

We notice that a theorem of Kuniyoshi [18] states that $k(G)$ is rational over k for any field k with $\operatorname{char} k = p > 0$ and finite p-group G. Therefore, we always assume that k is a field so that the regular representation of G over k is non-modular.

We close this section with several well-known results which will be used in our proof.

Theorem 1.4 (Fischer, see ([22], Theorem 6.1))**.** *Let* G *be a finite abelian group of exponent* e*, and let* k *be a field containing a primitive* e*-th root of unitary. For any linear representation* $G \longrightarrow GL(V)$ *over* k*, the fixed field* $k(V)^G$ *is rational over* k*.*

Theorem 1.5 (Hajja-Kang [6])**.** *Let* L *be a field and* G *be a finite group acting on the rational function field* $L(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$*. Assume that the restriction of the action of* G *to* L *is faithful, and for any* $g \in G$*,* $g(L) \subseteq L$ *and*

$$\begin{pmatrix} g(x_1) \\ g(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ g(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = A_g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + B_g,$$

where A_g *is an* $n \times n$ *invertible matrix and* B_g *is an* $n \times 1$ *matrix, both over* L*. Then there exist* n *elements* $z_1, \cdots, z_n \in L(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ *such that* $L(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = L(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ *and* $g(z_i) = z_i$ *for all* i *and* $g \in G$*.*

Theorem 1.6 (Ahmad-Hajja-Kang [1])**.** *Let* L *be a field and* G *be a finite group acting on the rational function field* $L(x)$*. Assume that for any* $g \in G$*,* $g(L) \subseteq L$ *and* $g(x) = a_g \cdot x + b_g$*, where* $a_g, b_g \in L$ *and* $a_g \neq 0$*. Then* $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$ *for some polynomial* $f \in L[x]$*.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume that $G = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid \alpha^{p^a} = \beta^{p^b} = \gamma^{p^c} = 1, [\alpha, \gamma] = 1, [\gamma, \beta] = \alpha^{p^r}, [\alpha, \beta] = \gamma^{p^e} \rangle$. Obviously, $0 \leq e \leq c$ and $0 \leq r \leq a$. Let ξ be a primitive p^a-th root of unity in k and $\omega = \xi^{p^{a-c}}$. Then ω is a primitive p^c-th root of unity. Let $V = \oplus_{g \in G} k \cdot x_g$ be the regular representation of G.

We define

$$X_1 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^a - 1} x_{\alpha^j}, X_2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^c - 1} x_{\gamma^j}.$$

Then $\alpha \cdot X_1 = X_1$ and $\gamma \cdot X_2 = X_2$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^c - 1} \omega^{-j} \gamma^j \cdot X_1 = X_1 + \omega^{-1} \gamma \cdot X_1 + \cdots + \omega^{-(p^c - 1)} \gamma^{p^c - 1} \cdot X_1. \\ Y_2 &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^a - 1} \xi^{-j} \alpha^j \cdot X_2 = X_2 + \xi^{-1} \alpha \cdot X_2 + \cdots + \xi^{-(p^a - 1)} \alpha^{p^a - 1} \cdot X_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\alpha, \gamma] = 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &: Y_1 \mapsto Y_1, Y_2 \mapsto \xi \cdot Y_2 \\ \gamma &: Y_1 \mapsto \omega \cdot Y_1, Y_2 \mapsto Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq i \leq p^b - 1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \beta^i \cdot Y_1 \\ y_i &= \beta^i \cdot Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $[\alpha, \beta] = \gamma^{p^e}$ and $[\gamma, \beta] = \alpha^{p^r}$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &: x_i \mapsto (\omega^{p^e})^i x_i, y_i \mapsto \xi^{p^{r+e}} y_i \\ \beta &: x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p^b - 1} \mapsto x_0 \\ & \quad y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p^b - 1} \mapsto y_0 \\ \gamma &: x_i \mapsto \omega^{p^{e+r}} x_i, y_i \mapsto (\xi^{p^r})^i y_i. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $W = (\oplus_{0 \leq i \leq p^b - 1} k \cdot x_i) \oplus (\oplus_{0 \leq i \leq p^b - 1} k \cdot y_i)$ is a faithful G-subrepresentation of V. By Theorem 1.5, it suffices to show that the invariant field $k(W)^G$ is rational over k.

For $1 \leq i \leq p^b - 1$, we define $u_i = \frac{x_i}{x_{i-1}}$ and $v_i = \frac{y_i}{y_{i-1}}$. Then $k(W) = k(x_0, y_0, u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^b - 1)$. Let $L = k(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p^b - 1)$. For any $g \in G$, we have that $g \cdot x_0 = \ell_g \cdot x_0$ and $g \cdot y_0 = \widetilde{\ell_g} \cdot y_0$, where $\ell_g, \widetilde{\ell_g} \in L$. Notice that L is invariant by the action of G. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &: u_i \mapsto \omega^{p^e} u_i, v_i \mapsto v_i \\ \beta &: u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p^b - 1} \mapsto (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p^b - 1})^{-1} \\ & \quad v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p^b - 1} \mapsto (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p^b - 1})^{-1} \\ \gamma &: u_i \mapsto u_i, v_i \mapsto \xi^{p^r} v_i. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.6, we need to show that L^G is rational over k.

To do this, we need to develop some "linearization" techniques for the k-automorphisms on a rational function field $k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$. It is well-known that the group $GL_m(k)$ can be viewed as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_k k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$, i.e., every $m \times m$ invertible matrix over k can act k-linearly on $k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$. So it is natural to ask what kind of k-automorphism on $k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ can be linearized? More precisely, a

k-automorphism $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}_k k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ is said to be *linearized* if there exists a group homomorphism from the cyclic group $\langle\beta\rangle$ to $GL_m(k)$. Equivalently, β is linearized if and only if there are m elements $z_1, \cdots, z_m \in k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ such that $k(x_1, \cdots, x_m) = k(z_1, \cdots, z_m)$ and $\beta \cdot (z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} z_j$, where (b_{ij}) is an $m \times m$ invertible matrix over k.

Lemma 2.1. *Let β be a k-automorphism of $k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ with the action*

$$\beta : x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_m \mapsto (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m)^{-1}.$$

If $\frac{1}{m+1} \in k$, then β is linearized.

Proof. The proof is essentially due to Kang ([14], page 226). Define $t_0 = 1 + x_1 + x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_2 x_3 + \cdots + x_1 \cdots x_m$, $t_1 = \frac{1}{t_0}$, $t_i = \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}}{t_0}$, where $2 \le i \le m+1$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} t_i = 1$. We have that $k(x_1, \cdots, x_m) = k(t_1, \cdots, t_m)$ with the action

$$\beta : t_0 \mapsto \frac{t_0}{x_1},\ t_1 \mapsto t_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto t_m \mapsto t_{m+1} = 1 - (\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i).$$

Define $z_i = t_i - \frac{1}{m+1}$ for $1 \le i \le m$. Then the action of β is actually given by

$$\beta : z_1 \mapsto z_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_m \mapsto -\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i,$$

as desired. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $m = p^s - 1$ and β be a k-automorphism of $k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ with the action*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \quad : \quad & x_1 \mapsto x_1 x_2^{p^t} \\ & x_2 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p^s-1} \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1^{p^{s-t}} x_2^{p^s-1} x_3^{p^s-2} \cdots x_{p^s-1}^2} \mapsto x_1^{p^{s-t}} x_2^{p^s-2} x_3^{p^s-3} \cdots x_{p^s-2}^2 x_{p^s-1} \mapsto x_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $s \ge t$. Then β is linearized.

Proof. Define $z_1 = x_2$, $z_i = \beta^{i-1} \cdot x_2$ for $2 \le i \le p^s - 1$. Then $k(z_i : 1 \le i \le p^s - 1) = k(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ with the action $\beta : z_1 \mapsto z_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p^s-1} \mapsto (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{p^s-1})^{-1} \mapsto z_1$. Recall the assumption that $\mathrm{char} k \ne p$, so $\frac{1}{p^s} \in k$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that β is linearized. □

We return to show that L^G is rational over k.

It follows that $L^{\langle\gamma\rangle} = k(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p^b - 1)^{\langle\gamma\rangle} = k(u_i, z_i : 1 \le i \le p^b - 1)$, where $z_1 = v_1^{p^{a-r}}$ and $z_i = \frac{v_i}{v_{i-1}}$ for $2 \le i \le p^b - 1$. Similarly, note that α fixes every z_i, thus

$$k(u_i, z_i : 1 \le i \le p^b - 1)^{\langle\alpha,\gamma\rangle} = k(w_i, z_i : 1 \le i \le p^b - 1),$$

where $w_1 = u_1^{p^{c-e}}$ and $w_i = \frac{u_i}{u_{i-1}}$ for $2 \le i \le p^b - 1$.

The action of β on $k(z_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p^b - 1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \quad : \quad & z_1 \mapsto z_1 z_2^{p^{a-r}},\ z_2 \mapsto z_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p^b-1} \mapsto \\ & \frac{1}{z_1^{p^{b-(a-r)}} z_2^{p^b-1} z_3^{p^b-2} \cdots z_{p^b-1}^2} \mapsto z_1^{p^{b-(a-r)}} z_2^{p^b-2} z_3^{p^b-3} \cdots z_{p^b-2}^2 z_{p^b-1} \mapsto z_2; \\ & w_1 \mapsto w_1 w_2^{p^{c-e}},\ w_2 \mapsto w_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{p^b-1} \mapsto \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{w_1^{p^{b-(c-e)}} w_2^{p^b-1} w_3^{p^b-2} \cdots w_{p^b-1}^2} \mapsto w_1^{p^{b-(c-e)}} w_2^{p^b-2} w_3^{p^b-3} \cdots w_{p^b-2}^2 w_{p^b-1} \mapsto w_2.$$

By Corollary 2.2, it follows that the action of β on $k(z_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p^b - 1)$ is linearized. Applying Theorem 1.4, we conclude that $k(z_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p^b - 1)^{\langle\beta\rangle}$ is rational over k. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor Mingchang Kang for his help.

References

[1] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja and M. Kang, Rationality of some projective linear actions. J. Algebra **228** (2000) 643-658.

[2] H. Chu, S. Hu and M. Kang, Noether's problem for dihedral 2-groups. Comment. Math. Helv. **79** (2004) 147-159.

[3] H. Chu, S. Hu, M. Kang and B. Kunyavskii, Noether's problem and the unramified Brauer groups for groups of order 64. Intern. Math. Res. Notices **12** (2010) 2329-2366.

[4] H. Chu, S. Hu, M. Kang and Y. Prokhorov, Noether's problem for groups of order 32. J. Algebra **320** (2008) 3022-3035.

[5] H. Chu and M. Kang, Rationality of p-group actions. J. Algebra **237** (2001) 673-690.

[6] M. Hajja and M. Kang, Some actions of symmetric groups. J. Algebra **177** (1995) 511-535.

[7] S. Hu and M. Kang, Noether's problem for some p-groups. In " Cohomological and Geometric Approaches to Rationality Problems" (F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, eds.), Progress in Math. vol. 282, Birkhäuser, Boston (2010), pp. 149-163.

[8] A. Hoshi and M. Kang, Unramified Brauer groups for groups of order p^5. arXiv:1109.2966 (2011).

[9] A. Hoshi, M. Kang and B. Kunyavskii, Noether's problem and unramified Brauer groups. To appear in Asian J. Math. arXiv:1202.5812 (2012).

[10] R. James, The groups of order p^6 (p an odd prime). Math. Comp. **34** (1980) 613-637.

[11] C. Jensen, A. Ledet and N. Yui, Generic polynomials: constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).

[12] M. Kang, Noether's problem for metacyclic p-groups. Adv. Math. **203** (2005) 554-567.

[13] M. Kang, Noether's problem for dihedral 2-groups II. Pacific J. Math. **222** (2005) 301-316.

[14] M. Kang, Noether's problem for p-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index p^2. Adv. Math. **226** (2011) 218-234.

[15] M. Kang, Bogomolov multipliers and retract rationality for semi-direct products. arXiv:1207.5867 (2012).

[16] M. Kang, I. Michailov and J. Zhou, Noether's problem for the groups with a cyclic subgroup of index 4. Transform. Groups **17** (2012) 1037-1058.

[17] I. Kersten, Noether's problem and normalization. Jah. Deutsch. Math.Verein. **100** (1998) 3-22.

[18] H. Kuniyoshi, On a problem of Chevalley. Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955) 65-67.

[19] P. Moravec, Groups of order p^5 and their unramified Brauer groups. J. Algebra **372** (2012) 420-427.

[20] P. Moravec, Unramified Brauer groups and isoclinism. arXiv:1203.2422 (2012).

[21] D. Saltman, Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory. Adv. Math. **43** (1982) 250-283.

[22] R. Swan, Noether's problem in Galois theory. In: Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr (B. Srinivasan and J. Sally eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, P.R. China
E-mail address: `ychen@nenu.edu.cn`